

30111 Crown Valley Parkway Laguna Niguel, California, 92677 Phone: (949) 362-4300

Fax: (949) 362-4340

Mayor John Mark Jennings Mayor Pro Tem Laurie Davies Council Member Elaine Gennawey Council Member Fred Minagar Council Member Sandy Rains

May 13, 2019

The Honorable Lorena Gonzalez Chair, Assembly Committee on Appropriations State Capitol Building, Room 5050 Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Opposition to A.B. 1356

Dear Assembly Member Gonzalez,

On behalf of the City of Laguna Niguel, I am writing to express our opposition to A.B. 1356 (Ting) – Cannabis: Local Jurisdiction: Retail Commercial Cannabis Activity

Laguna Niguel unreservedly opposes A.B. 1356 due to the requirement that it creates if more than 50 percent of the voters of a local jurisdiction voted in favor of Proposition 64, these local jurisdictions would be required to issue a minimum number of licenses authorizing retail cannabis activity within that jurisdiction. More specifically, this bill requires these cities to issue a minimum of one retail cannabis license for every four liquor licenses, or one retail cannabis license for every 10,000 residents.

Existing law, under both the Medicinal and Adult-Use Cannabis Regulation and Safety Act (MAUCRSA) and Proposition 64, states that local jurisdictions have the ability to adopt and enforce local ordinances to regulate cannabis businesses. By removing local governments' ability to regulate brick and mortar retail cannabis shops, A.B. 1356 undermines the very foundation of local control and thereby negates the primary purpose of California's established dual licensing structure.

With regard to the measure's mandated 1-to-4 ratio, there is simply no nexus between a city's regulation of citizens' access to retail commercial cannabis and the number of liquor establishments within a community. By requiring such a ratio, A.B. 1356 proposes an arbitrary land use standard for individual cities and counties based on the results of a statewide ballot measure.

In seeking to eliminate local authority to ban or regulate retail commercial cannabis businesses, A.B. 1356 would ultimately strip cities and counties of the ability to decide what is appropriate for their communities—a premise that directly contradicts the framework understood by the voters when approving Proposition 64.

Laguna Niguel has always and will continue to oppose any piece of legislation that dwindles down the local control and authority that cities ought to maintain in the State of California. Every city in California has its own value system and principles. Legislators in Sacramento should respect this diversity of thought and stop attempts to legislate for cities. Laguna Niguel regulates in accordance with the values and principles of our residents of our remarkable City. As a result, we oppose measures that prevent the City's ability to require fair and proper permits and to regulate cannabis dispensaries. Our community knows what is best for itself and we therefore oppose A.B. 1356.

Yours in service to others,

John Mark Jennings

Mayor

Members, Assembly Committee on Appropriations CC:

The Honorable Senator Patricia Bates

The Honorable Assembly Member Bill Brough

Tony Cardenas, League of California Cities, tcardenas@cacities.org

League of California Cities, cityletters@cacities.org